



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

**RG & ASSOCIATES  
1103 TWIN CREEKS  
STE. 120  
ALLEN TX 75013**

**MAILED  
FEB 15 2011  
OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of	:	
Andrew Silver	:	
Application No. 10/642,841	:	ON PETITION
Filed: August 18, 2003	:	
Attorney Docket No. 19018.00011	:	

This is a decision on the renewed petition filed January 12, 2011 under the unintentional provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b), to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The application became abandoned as a result of petitioner's failure to file an appeal brief (and fee required by 37 CFR 41.20(b)(2)) within the time period provided in 37 CFR 41.37(a)(1). As an appeal brief (and appeal brief fee) was not filed within two (2) months of the Notice of Appeal filed August 7, 2009. No extensions of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. The appeal was dismissed and the proceedings as to the rejected claims were terminated. See 37 CFR 41.37(b). As no claim was allowed, the application became abandoned on October 8, 2009. See MPEP 1215.04. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on April 13, 2010.

The petition satisfies the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that petitioner has supplied (1) the reply in the form of a RCE (Request for Continued Examination, with the required fee of \$405, (2) the petition fee of \$810, and (3) a proper statement of unintentional delay. Accordingly, the RCE is accepted as being unintentionally delayed.

It is not apparent whether the person signing the statement of unintentional delay was in a position to have firsthand or direct knowledge of the facts and circumstances of the delay at issue. Nevertheless, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of such delay. See 37 CFR 10.18(b) and Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53178 (October 10, 1997), 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 63, 103 (October 21, 1997). In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, petitioner must notify the Office.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Kimberly Inabinet at (571) 272-4618.

This application is being referred to Technology Center AU 3687 for appropriate action by the Examiner in the normal course of business on the reply received January 12, 2011.

/Kimberly Inabinet/

Kimberly Inabinet  
Petitions Examiner  
Office of Petitions